Errors in the Management of the Ship, or the Management of the Cargo?

In Ciesek blossom Ltd v Prinses Shipping Ltd the English High Court held that since US COGSA applied to the charterparty, the Owners were entitled to rely on the exception “negligence in the management of the ship” for the Master’s negligent decision to require additional cargo strapping in one of the cargo holds of the ship.

The Facts

A dispute arose between Owners and Charterers with respect to the stowage of a consignment of soya beans to be carried from New Orleans to China. The Master refused to accept any stowage plan other than one where holds number 2 and 6 were partially loaded and which required the strapping of the cargo in hold number 2. Charterers incurred additional costs in the region of US$410,000 as a result of the strapping required by the Master.

The Charterparty

The relevant clauses of the governing Time Charterparty (NYGA 1946 Form) were:

- Clause 2 imposed on Charterers the burden of providing “necessary demurrage and shifting boards; also any extra fittings requisite for a special trade or unusual cargo”.
- Charterers were, as per clause 8, responsible for loading and stowing the cargo.

The Arbitration

Owners commenced arbitration proceedings in London for about US$410,000 of unpaid hire and Charterers counterclaimed for about US$410,000 of additional costs incurred in strapping the cargo.

The arbitators found, based on the expert evidence, that the strapping costs were unnecessarily incurred as adequate stability could have been achieved without strapping the cargo. Further, the plan could have been derived from the software the Master had available to him.

While the arbitators found the Master to have been negligent, they held that Owners’ liability was excluded by section 4(2) of US COGSA as the neglect or default of the master was “in the management of the ship”, rather than in the management of the cargo.

The High Court decision

Charterers appealed the decision and Cockerill J upheld the award of the arbitators on the following grounds:

- Whilst clause 2 provided that Charterers were required to provide fittings for special trades or unusual cargoes, such a clause could not be interpreted so as to impose on Owners the duty to pay for unnecessary fittings.
- Pursuant to clause 8 responsibility for loading was transferred to the Charterers. The Master’s role in creating a stowage plan was supervisory and not primarily related to care for cargo but pertaining to the stability of the vessel.
- The Charterers were entitled to rely on the US COGSA exclusion of liability for “Act, neglect or default of the master... in the management of the ship” because, although the Master’s negligence concerned the stowage/strapping of cargo, his negligence was ultimately aimed at ensuring the ship’s safety and stability, which fell under the scope of “the management of the ship”.

Conclusions

The present case is an important reminder of the controversy as to what constitutes negligence in the management of the vessel and/or the cargo.

The leading case is Gossie Miller v Canadian Government Merchant Marine (The Canadian Highlander) [1928] 32 Lloyd’s Rep 91.

In Ciesek blossom Ltd v Prinses Shipping Ltd, Cockerill J., considered that “what drove the master to act as he did was a consideration of the stability of the vessel and was, hence, a care of the ship issue.” and declared that “it seems to me that it is relatively clear that the primary nature and object of the acts which caused the loss were ones which related to ship management in the sense of stability, and that what was in question was not a want of care of cargo, but a want of care of the vessel which had an effect on the cargo.”

In Ciesek blossom Ltd v Prinses Shipping Ltd, we were reminded that Owners could be entitled to rely on the Hague/Visby Rules or US COGSA exception of negligence in the management of the ship for the acts of the shipowners’ servants that involved cargo, provided that the primary interest the Master was protecting was the ship.
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